

In the name of His Highness Sheikh Tamim bin Hamad Al Thani, Emir of the State of Qatar

Neutral Citation: [2023] QIC (F) 17

IN THE QATAR FINANCIAL CENTRE
CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL COURT
FIRST INSTANCE CIRCUIT

Date: 1 May 2023

CASE NO: CTFIC0014/2023

KIRAN KUMAR PARISA

Claimant

V

MEINHARDT BIM STUDIOS LLC

Defendant

JUDGMENT

Before:

Justice Her Honour Frances Kirkham CBE Justice Fritz Brand

Justice Helen Mountfield KC

Order

- 1. The Defendant, Meinhardt BIM Studios LLC, is to pay the Claimant:
 - i. The sum of QAR 37,528.44.
 - ii. Interest on the amount in (a), calculated at the rate of 5% per annum from 24 August 2021 to date of payment.
- 2. To the extent that any reasonable costs were incurred by the Claimant in pursuing this claim, he is entitled to recover those costs from the Defendant, such costs to be assessed by the Registrar if not agreed.
- 3. It is directed that the matter be referred to the Employment Standards Office to consider action pursuant to Schedule 1 to the QFC Employment Regulations 2020.

Judgment

- This is yet another claim against the same Defendant, Meinhardt BIM Studios LLC by
 one of its former employees for arrear remuneration. The Claimant is Mr Kiran Kumar
 Parisa. The Defendant is a company incorporated and licenced in the Qatar Financial
 Centre ('QFC'). Hence this Court has jurisdiction in terms of article 9.1.4 of the
 Court's Regulations and Procedural Rules.
- 2. Because of the sum and issues involved the claim was allocated by the Registrar to the Small Claims Track of this Court under Practice Direction No.1 of 2022 (the 'Practice Direction'). In accordance with this Practice Direction, we conclude that it is appropriate to determine the issues in this case on the basis of the written material before us and without hearing oral evidence.
- 3. We are satisfied that the Defendant has been duly notified about the claim and served with the relevant material before us.
- 4. In accordance with the allegations in the Claim Form, which are uncontroverted, the Claimant was employed by the Defendant's Singapore parent company from 16 January

2020 until the registration of the Defendant in the QFC on 21 September 2021 when his employment was transferred to the Defendant. After that date, the Claimant remained employed by the Defendant until his resignation with effect from 23 August 2021. On 22 February 2022 the Claimant received a document from the Defendant entitled Calculation of Final Settlement. According to this document the amount owing to the Claimant by way of salary and other benefits was QAR 65,428.44. On 11 April 2022 the Claimant received part payment of this amount in the sum of QAR 28,000.00 from the Defendant, leaving a balance of QAR 37,528.44 which is the amount that he claims. The Defendant has not served any defence. On the papers before us, it has no answer to the claim.

- 5. Despite the absence of any defence to the claim, the amount owing to the Claimant has remained unpaid for 19 months. This is clearly unacceptable. Since the Defendant admittedly has no answer to the claim, it clearly uses the delays associated with debt collection through court proceedings to seek to gain the advantage of what amounts to an interest-free loan at the expense of causing financial prejudice, inconvenience and distress to its former employees. Of concern is that this strategy by the Defendant seems to have become a matter of course, as appears from the number of similar cases this Court has dealt with recently. In the circumstances we direct that the matter be referred to the Employment Standard Office to consider action pursuant to Schedule 1 to the QFC Employment Regulations 2020.
- 6. For these reasons, judgment is awarded in the sum of QAR 37,528.44. Although the Claimant did not claim interest, we believe it is fair to compensate him to some extent for being deprived of the benefit of receiving payment of money due to him by an award of interest on the outstanding amount at the rate of 5% per annum from 24 August 2021, i.e. the date following the date of termination of his employment, to date of payment.
- 7. The Defendant must also pay the reasonable costs incurred by the Claimant, if any, in bringing this claim.

By the Court,



[signed]

Justice Fritz Brand

A signed copy of this Judgment has been filed with the Registry.

Representation

The Claimant was self-represented.

The Defendant was self-represented.